UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/533,878	11/21/2005	Hiroshi Takahashi	0760-0346PUS1	9660
2292 7590 11/02/2007 BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH		EXAMINER		
PO BOX 747			GODDARD, LAURA B	
FALLS CHUR	CH, VA 22040-0747		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1642	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/02/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/533,878	TAKAHASHI ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	•	Laura B. Goddard, Ph.D.	1642		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failui Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DASSION of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to the apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDON	DN. timely filed m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 August 2007.</li> <li>This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.</li> </ol>					
Disposition of Claims					
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-3,5-7 and 10-16 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) 11,12,15 and 16 is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) 1-3,5-7,10,13 and 14 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>					
Applicati	on Papers	·			
10) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S ion is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
2) Notice	t(s) se of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 11/16/06	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date		

Application/Control Number: 10/533,878

Art Unit: 1642

## **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. The Election filed August 13, 2007 in response to the Office Action of July 13, 2007 is acknowledged. Applicant elected with traverse, the species of examination "(a) examining nucleic acids" and the HTLV-1 gene.
- 2. Applicants argue that by searching one group, the Examiner is necessarily searching the other group since the claims are closely related in subject matter and it would not be undue burden to search all of claims 1-3, 5-7, and 10-16 (p. 2).

The arguments have been considered but are not found persuasive because each species of examination of the cells isolated in the method of claim 1 require distinct method steps, reagents, assays, and criteria for success. Further, assaying for different species of nucleic acids requires different method steps, reagents, and criteria for success. Searching all of the groups with all of the different variables is not extensively overlapping, and would invoke a high burden of search. For these reasons, the restriction requirement is deemed to be proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claims 1-3, 5-7 and 10-16 are pending. Claims 11, 12, 15, and 16 are withdrawn as being drawn to non-elected species. Claims 1-3, 5-7, 10, 13, and 14 are currently being examined.

## Election/Restrictions

3. After a First Office Action, Applicants amended independent claim 1 on 4/16/2007 to recite several different species of cells. An additional restriction for species of cells is set forth below.

## SPECIES ELECTION

4. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of cells: Elect ONE or a specific combination of cells from claim 1.

The species are independent or distinct because each cell type has a different etiolology, different structure, and different function, and expresses different proteins, all of which distinguish them as physiologically distinct tissues.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after

Art Unit: 1642

the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

Application/Control Number: 10/533,878

Art Unit: 1642

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Laura B. Goddard, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (571) 272-8788. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00am-3:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Shanon Foley can be reached on 571-272-0898. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Laura B Goddard, Ph.D.

Examiner Art Unit 1642